

Standards Committee January 2015 Conference Call

20 January 2015 @ 12pm PDT/3pm EDT

In attendance: Dan Santamaria, Tim Pyatt, John Bence, Hillary Bober, Cory Nimer, Carrie Hintz, Beth Davis-Brown, Caitlin Christian-Lamb, Genevieve Preston, Kate Bowers, Trevor Thornton, Meg Tuomala (taking notes)

Update on Primary Source Literary Proposal

- Approved by SAA Council in November
- Council or Dennis Meissner to put out call for volunteers for project, which is tentatively scheduled to start in September 2015

Update on EAD3 submission

- TS-EAD had previously set a January deadline for EAD3 submission. Update on timing: they are very close, will have something to Standards for review by late January or February
- This will be a substantial package of material for review
- Tim has spoken with Council and they are aware of this timing and will be prepared to review and vote on remotely/through email instead of waiting for their May 2015 meeting

DACS revision proposal (2.3.3. commentary bullet 2 and 2.4.6.)

Note: DACS is on continuous review cycle, and is the first SAA standard to enter this type of review. Dan brought up a few procedures that we need to clarify w/ Council, specifically the 30 day post publication review period.

- This change proposal was submitted 24 November 2014
 - We are reviewing not the change but the adequacy of the packet. Specifically were the notification procedures, comment period, and responses satisfactory?
 - Question raised: was the response typical?
 - Dan confirmed this is a fairly average response
 - Meg: Review processes seemed adequate
 - Beth: Although there weren't many, comments were substantive
 - Hillary: Seemed like people were commenting on one change but not the other
 - Dan provided some context-- this proposal started with one change and then the other developed as part of the editing process/commentary received
 - Beth: also commented that the review process seemed adequate
 - Tim: perhaps this is a good test-case for the process on the new continuous review cycle
 - Standards committee should consider providing feedback to TS-DACS for soliciting more comments from a wider stakeholder/user base on the next change proposal

- Committee is OK with moving this forward, but we will give TS-DACS feedback that we'd like to see more publicity (esp. for some of the more substantive changes coming down the pipe)
 - ACTION ITEM: Meg and Dan will get back to TS-DACS folks to communicate this feedback
 - ACTION ITEM: Meg and Dan will write up agenda items for Council submission
 - Tim confirmed that it is OK to send to Council for review in advance of the May Council meeting

SAA/ALA Joint Statement on Access Revision

- Because of the volume of RBMS/SAA joint task forces currently underway, there is the sense that this might add too much to the plate
 - Necessary at some point to review, but not currently highest priority
 - Additionally, RAO section (who would probably be the primary contact for this update) just finished and submitted a proposal for creation of a Primary Source Literacy standard
- Do we want to move on this now? If so what subgroup may be most appropriate to lead out?
 - Tim: suggested tabling this discussion until Annual Meeting when all subgroups are present and there is renewed energy, and committee concurred
 - ACTION ITEM: This should be added to 2015 annual meeting agenda

Updates on project to create liaison to Technical Subcommittees and other groups

- Everyone has been in contact with their group and the system seems to be going well. Nothing else to report at this time.
- ACTION ITEM: Committee members should take a look at the Standards Portal and see what standards endorsed/managed by their assignments are (or will soon be) up for review
 - Update the notes column in this spreadsheet with dates and any relevant notes or info:
<https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1nBleH0wZJh4AV95uLF-A0xR7h9KjU4la9E9o-oYcXJg/edit?usp=sharing>

Other items and updates

- Next call tentatively scheduled for April, in advance of May council meeting
- Additionally, we may need to discuss EAD 3 revisions when that packet comes through, probably mid to late February
- Tim noted that at the upcoming May council meeting continuous review for EAD may be discussed, as well as the merging of EAD/Schema/EAC-CPF technical subcommittees (and what long-term management of this might look like)
- Tim relayed info from Nancy Beaumont-- she has not yet been able to follow up w/ ARMA re: external rep