

7/29/16 Conference Call Agenda/Notes

The call-in info:

Dial (855) 925-3266.

- When prompted enter meeting ID (21069), then #
- When prompted speak your name, then enter #

Notetaker: Leah Richardson

Agenda:

Hellos, Roll Call, Announcements, administrative updates

Present: Leah, Bill, Heather, Sarah, Lisa, Julie,

Absent: Gordon, Sam, Sammie,

SAA meeting in Atlanta next week

- Who is going to be there Thursday for:
 - Open Forum (12:15-1:30 PM), room 213 in the conference hotel - <http://sched.co/6oM5> - 44 people “signed up” through the schedule app
 - Note that there is an unfortunate schedule overlap with the “One Book, One Profession” discussion session, which will focus on the SAA-published book *Teaching with Primary Sources*, a part of the Trends in Archives Practice series. Sammie is a co-author of two of the modules in the book, so she will be at that session instead (<http://sched.co/6oMn>).
 - Who will be there?:
 - Gordon Daines, Bill Landis, Sam Crisp, Heather Smedberg, Julie Grob
 - Our meeting (3:30-5 PM), room 202 in the conference hotel - <http://sched.co/6oQX> - surprisingly there are 34 people “signed up” for this in the schedule app, so we may have a somewhat more externally attended meeting than is typical for SAA groups.
 - Who will be there?:
 - Gordon Daines, Bill Landis, Sam Crisp, Sammie Morris, Heather Smedberg, Julie Grob
- Un-conference on Wednesday, August 3:
<http://teachwithstuff.org/tps-unconference-2016aug-atlanta/>
 - Julie, can you remind us what you’ll be focusing on in your session at this event?
 - Flesh out ethical concepts more
- Structure for the 75 minute forum?
 - Useful to steer the conversation so that we don’t get a lot of the same feedback as at ALA and via digressit comments? Any standouts from this feedback topics

list on which we want to press further in our open forum:

https://docs.google.com/document/d/18Rj-EzWzunwHxR9e0cU_qObbDkj0noMyc6RHI-crAY4/edit ?

- Request for a 5ish-minute update/take any questions at Manuscript Repositories Section meeting (1-2:30 PM on Friday, August 5), Salon B in conference hotel - <http://sched.co/6nrG>
 - Bill can probably do this but if someone else is planning to be there anyway and would like to, that'd be great! Bill will contact Anke Voss, section chair, to let her know who will give the update.
- **Notes from 7/29/16 meeting about above SAA JTF events:**
 - Have people comment about definition and intro wordsmithing on digressit, but encourage feedback for other sections; definitions and intro tends to bog down discussion
 - Ask for feedback on key concepts that we've missed or what deserves more attention
 - Ask about connecting to the ACRL Framework?
 - Heather: general comment about how the draft is more of a think piece at this point and not a set of guidelines; is there a way to learn more about how people might use this document--or not use?
 - Bill: what do people think guidelines look like? Expectations of this document?
 - A lot of resources for exercises and models for instruction already
- Question (SH): have we sent anything to the ACRL subgroups? Instruction?
 - Heather has sent to Eng Language Lit, DH, but others on a list not yet contacted
 - Went to [Literacy Standards committee](#); they haven't discussed it yet
 - Role of the Framework?
 - JG: Framework highly important to academic library instruction; we should be able to discuss the Framework in relation to our document; why we still felt a need for PSL standards
 - HS: we have to consider the Framework; how our document fits into/compliments, but PSL is not just for history research

Next steps for JTF after SAA and before end of comment period in August

- Bi-weekly conference calls - need to schedule August-December
 - Is our current Friday 10 AM Pacific/11 AM Mountain/Noon Central/1 PM Eastern hour-long timeframe generally still good for everyone?
 - Works for the group, Bill will send out an August-December schedule, every other week beginning September?
- Volunteers (over email to come from Bill) for subgroups to start work on the following new pieces with a fairly quick turnaround for discussion drafts (tentatively we'd like to start sharing/discussing these on our Friday, August 26 meeting). Who is interested in working on ...: (Will take volunteers over email)
 - 1) **Context for Guidelines:**
 - impetus, who are ACRL/RBMS and SAA,

- how are guidelines intended to be used - hopefully a couple of paragraphs to frame the guidelines better than our current draft does.
- Perhaps some kind of explicit verbiage on links to/intersections with/parallels to the ACRL Framework?
- Also to the RUSA History Section guidelines?
- 2) **Bibliography** of further readings, hopefully organized in a way that makes it easy for readers to wade in.
- 3) **Specific application examples** keyed to learning outcomes, hopefully organized in a way that makes it easy for readers to wade in.
- 4) **List of terms** that are important for understanding the guidelines, with further discussion of those that have given us collective pause.
- Are there **other new things** we could be working on now that have come up in public comments? We want to wait until the end of our comment period (end of August) before we launch into editing what we have already made public.

Other issues on the horizon?