## Society of American Archivists Council Meeting November 5-7, 2017 Chicago, Illinois

## Survey Results: Feedback on SAA Strategic Plan

(Prepared by Governance Coordinator Felicia Owens)

## BACKGROUND

In anticipation of the upcoming review of the 2014-2018 SAA Strategic Plan, the Council solicited feedback from the SAA membership on the current strategic plan. A survey was put forward to all SAA members in early October via the SAA website, social media, and an all-member email blast. (See the call for feedback at: https://www2.archivists.org/news/2017/give-your-feedback-on-the-saa-strategic-plan.) The following are all responses that were received by the October 27, 2017, close date.

o u goals re/will	Do you have suggestions for revising the goals or objectives?	Other comments/feedback:	
Yes no		The term "agile association" reflects professional infrastructure and adaptability to meet future technological changes.	
archives value or nature a Goal 3. and wor practice commu Goal 4 r not only	s in library settings; too many of our colleague nature of our work. I hope regular members are included in this. We need to include communities and users in rk on "identify[ing] the need for new standard s." Support an archives workforce that reflect nities in which we're set. needs to be taken further, I think, with goals a y diversify but deepen acceptance of people, o	es don't understand the hip surveys of some how we think about ds, guidelines, and best ts the diversity of the around actions taken to especially women, of	Good luck! Thank you to SAA administrators, leadership, and councilors for your engagement with these complex
l	Goal 1. archives value or nature a Goal 3. and wor practice commu Goal 4 r not only	u       revising the goals or objectives?         goals       no         Soal 1. I think we need to do more work to advocate archives in library settings; too many of our colleague value or nature of our work. I hope regular members nature are included in this.         Goal 3. We need to include communities and users ir and work on "identify[ing] the need for new standard practices." Support an archives workforce that reflect communities in which we're set.         Goal 4 needs to be taken further, I think, with goals a not only diversify but deepen acceptance of people, or the set of the set.	u goals e/willrevising the goals or objectives?Image: Note: Not

Yes	To #1 & #2, I would add that SAA needs to advocate for stable funding and appropriately valued salaries for archivists. That includes helping and encouraging SAA members to write grants and project jobs in ways that support some of the most vulnerable new members of our profession, and considering how to structure work in a way that it is good for all and not just for the institution. Additionally, I would like to see more about how we as a profession can support archivists and records managers who are doing difficult work and who may need additional support during this administration that includes folks working at all levels of government, as well as NGOs dealing with human rights and other issues suddenly at the fore.	
-----	---	--

I have long believed the 2014-18 strategic plan reflects a fundamental contradiction. SAA's vision is to enable archivists "to achieve professional excellence and foster innovation to ensure identification, preservation, and the use of records of enduring value." That seems a straightforward statement that, with a few changes in wording, could describe the vision of any professional organization. However as we work our way through the strategic plan that very direct vision has some rather challenging freight attached to it in the mission statement and core values.

Note first the mission statement that promotes "the values and diversity of archives and archivists." Not to put too fine a point on it, diversity is not included in the vision, but appears in the mission statement. That is, I believe, defensible, but also begins a slippery slope.

The core organizational values continues down this slope. While many of the core values do fit well under the rubric of professional excellence, diversity is now supplemented with the additional phrase "social responsibility." Diversity at least has a reasonably easy definition to make it transparent and one can certainly argue persuasively that archives and archivist should be diverse. But social responsibility?

Ignoring, for the sake of argument, how social responsibility squares with the vision statement, there is an immediate definitional problem. The term is totally opaque in that "social responsibility" has very, very different definitions to a person who reads, and more importantly believes, Breitbart News in contrast to the Huffington Post. To whom and for what is SAA socially responsible? Having made that selection --which in the name of transparency it seems Council is required to do -- what exactly are we supposed to say to members who disagree? You're just wrong? Exactly who within SAA has the moral authority to make that pronouncement?

I find a sharp distinction between member's personal convictions regarding social responsibility, or for that matter Council's collective opinion on the subject, and the legitimate authority of Council to translate those opinions into SAA policy. SAA is a professional organization, not an organization with a definable social agenda. As our vision statement says, SAA "enables archivists to achieve professional excellence." While one can rather easily square diversity within the profession to that phrase, one cannot easily square supporting one set of cultural values over some other set of cultural values.

Having raised these issues, it is interesting to note that in reading the professional goals and key performance indicators in the 2014-18 document, they largely revert to the vision statement, with some references to diversity and literally not a single word that directly addresses social responsibility – although I admit you could read that objective into some things if you wanted to. And before someone says it, "Advocacy" doesn't cut it, since advocacy as defined by the goals and performance indicators is for archives and archivists, not for some broader social goal.

This is a rather long way of saying Council tacked in "social responsibility and the public good" into the 2014-18 core organizational values in what appears to be a way to perhaps make themselves feel good, and certainly to appease some members, but failed to follow through in a meaningful way within the rest of the document.

In drafting a new strategic plan council faces a difficult choice – follow through regarding social responsibility and the public good through goals and indicators (and probably a revised vision statement to justify doing this), or remove social responsibility and stop pretending that maybe, sort of, kind of when we can, SAA will address those issues without any specific goal to do so or performance indicators to see if SAA actually succeeded.

If Council decides to include social responsibility I believe that transparency will require a profession-wide discussion to define the term as it is to be understood within SAA. I will argue as part of such a discussion that the fundamental premise of engaging in social responsibility is both a needlessly divisive and fundamentally alien goal

Page 2 of 10

within a professional organization. SAA's core mission is about making archives and archivists professionally better. The rest, including social responsibility broadly defined, is a distraction from the organization's core goal.

I encourage archivists to embrace social responsibility and indeed endeavor to save the world. I encourage them to do so by joining other organizations of their choice that will seek to do that in a way individual archivists believe appropriate. Just don't ask SAA to do it. Despite the endless comparisons to ALA's political arm, that isn't what SAA is about.

yes		no	no
Yes.	Objective 3.1 Identify for new standards, gu and best practices an participate in their de A key component of standards is maintain over time. This objec sound like SAA identif for new standards, cr standards when appr then walks away whe is published at versio that is not what SAA inserting a few words maintaining/managir as appropriate would way.	uidelines, d lead or evelopment: the health of ing them tive makes it fies needs reates opriate, and en a standard n 1.0. I know means, but s about ng standards	<ul> <li>A. KPIs I'm a little unclear about how the KPIs are being evaluated. A lot of the metrics hinge on the term "increase" but it's not clear what that means in terms of actual measures. If sales of SAA publications by one book in 2018 over 2014 does not mean that SAA has "successfully" met that KPI? To take another example, does the KPI "SAA members indicate that they are confident in speaking with the media and advocating for archives" mean that all SAA members indicate this, or just a portion of the membership. How are people supposed to indicate this confidence? More broadly, do the KPIs pivot on increases from 2014 to 2018 or is this a year-over-year comparison? I recognize that there is always a danger of packing in too many details into a strategic plan. Perhaps this is asking a strategic plan document to carry too much weight. Perhaps these details belong in a separate KPI evaluation document (or something similar). More generally, I do have the question of how Council will evaluate the effect veness of SAA's performance over the 2014-2018 time frame against its Strategic Plan and KPIs. </li> <li>B. Core Organizational Values I know this feedback form didn't ask for comments about the Values statement, but: All of the values statements have verbs except "Social responsibility and the public good." It seems like this value could be easily misread as being committed to social responsibility and the public good." C. Thank you Most importantly: Thank you. Thank you for creating the Strategic Plan and reevaluating it. It indicates a healthy and strong Society!</li></ul>
contin advoca and cr memb critica archiv resear the fie	of these will oue to be. I think ating for archives reating engaged pership will be Ily important. I think ist doing more rch and publishing in eld will continue to portant.	"Ensuring the and leaders, archival recor objectives sp	t one of the values is e diversity of its membership the profession, and the rd." but none of the goals or oke to this. I think this should ted somehow.

Yes, but - as an archivist in	4.1 - I don't find SAA agile; I have never	Make it easier to be involved in SAA if it is
the last 10 years or so of	received a response to a concern, and it	impossible to attend the conference.
employment, I honestly	has become far too competitive in the last	Otherwise, more of us will spend more
feel left out of much that	5 or so years to have sessions accepted. If	time and energy on our regional
SAA is doing. I have a	SAA is going to have a larger and larger	associations and SAA will become less
smaller budget at work	membership, the conference should offer	relevant.
and the conference is	double the number of simultaneous	
more expensive; for me	sessions (like an academic conference).	
the conference has	Also, there have been too many library	
become too large and less	school graduates in the last decade, and	
collegial - partly because	not enough permanent full-time positions.	
hearing issues make it very	Focus on library programs in regions of	
hard to move between	the country where the jobs are. I don't see	
sessions and noisy	SAA doing enough advocacy for	
hallways. So my response	PERMANENT jobs (i.e. not temporary	
is, are you also thinking	grant-funded work), and graduates can	
about how to continue to	spend 5 or more years going from short	
engage older archivists	term project work to short term project	
who continue learning and	work with no sense of continuity or ability	
updating how we do our	to plan for the future. This is the biggest	
work but for whom many	problem I think the profession faces.	
of the sessions are less and	problem runne the profession faces.	
less relevant?		
I feel all four goals are still	Continue work to diversify the profession	Having served on Council, I know how
relevant and have ongoing	and the Society with perhaps some new	challenging communicating and getting
	goals. The current goals are so broad it is	
objectives to be	difficult to see items that would not fall	input on the plan from the membership
accomplished. I do feel the		can be. Working to keep it in front of the
Society has made progress	under one or more of them.	leadership and discussed regularly is
in many of the areas and		important for the plan to continue to be
acknowledging the		relevant.
progress is important. As		
the membership of the		
Society continues to		
change, Goal 4 is growing		
in importance.		
I do.	I wonder if "3.3. Participate actively in	Please keep finding ways to share
	relevant partnerships and collaborations	presentations and courses virtually and as
	to enhance professional knowledge."	part of the base cost of a membership. It
	could be enhanced to indicate that	should be easy and simple to stream every
	partnerships outside of the archival/GLAM	session at SAA's meetings. Please work
	community would be very valuable and	towards diversity of the profession
	worth working towards.	through encouraging members from
		underrepresented communities to pursue
		graduate studies - while also finding ways
		to help fund their studies and support
		to help fund their studies and support their job searches.

Yes, these goals remain relevant, but could be expanded.I think it would be helpfu and equity. Besides focus people, I think trying to c attainable to a wider vari people can't make it to th educational offerings - w could work on that could for education/networking in a system rooted in opp		sing on diverse reco create opportunities iety of people woul he annual meeting hat are some other I create more acces g/advancement? W	ords and diverse s that are more d be helpful. Lots of or afford the ideas the society sible opportunities Ye are also very stuck	
	that could open that up? people into our closed sy system a bit?			
emails was goodup dropped. This is not should not go out of individual from this o administration.	mployees using private	called bathroom annual meeting a cannot foster an environment" wh such as this. You Promoting public archival records is at hand when you to consider your with the religious well. There is an organizations, wh contributions to t exclude them wh policy issues. Add much care who is neither should you the archival assoce Remember, not e position. Moreov administration giv undermine your p in said administration action advocate for arch	TICS!!! The mere intro bill nearly prompted a way from Texas and th "open, inclusive, and co end up offending one s awareness about arch s important, but you di u engage in these issue positions on issues suc ministries that house entire section for archi- nich would indicate you the profession, howeve en it comes to these co litionally, quit being so a Democrat or who is bu. The goal is to advoct ciation, not to take a sh everyone in SAA agrees er, taking shots at the ves you nothing, and co position when you nee ation. It is a positive thi ivists and the archival now what you are doin	relocation of the nat was shameful. You ollaborative of a divisive issue side or another. ives and the value of istract from the issues es. You may also wish h as that may conflict archival records as vists of religious a value their er, you seem willing to ontentious public partisan! I do not a Republican, and tate for archivists and not at Republicans. with your partisan current an only serve to d to work with those ng, to be sure, to profession, but you
The four main goals are clearly what is most important.				

Yes, I believe the current four goals will still be relevant and attainable.	I think all the goals are broad enough and encompass what members desire from SAA.	It would be great if SAA can somehow make more efforts into broadcasting the work done by its various sections. We all tend to be siloed within our focused sections and it would be nice if SAA can push efforts for members to crossover and look to see what other colleagues are doing in the organization. For instance, the BAS has so many great resources available for all SAA members but most non-BAS members would never consider venturing into their microsite.
Yes, partially.	At the risk of being seen as an outlier, I would humbly suggest that you invest more time and energy in advocating for aggressive action to protect coastal city archives from the predictable and inevitably results of climate change. I think this is going to be one of the leading issues facing archives by the end of the next decade, if not sooner.	For example, this mainstream article: http://nymag.com/daily/intelligencer/201 7/10/why-this-isnt-the-new-normal-for- climate-change.html
Yes, though one core value sticks out as being unsupported by the Goals and Objectives"Social responsibility and the public good." This may be because it is not directly tied to the profession, but rather the interest of many members to be politically engaged and involved through their professional organization.	SAA's past use of the value statement "Social responsibility and the public good" for "big P" political purposes makes justification of institutional membership difficult in many government archives. These activities also cause significant angst among professional government archivist and records managers who cannot, and should not, be professionally involved in Political organizations. My issue is not with the value statement, which I support, but rather how the past Political application of it has negatively impacted government archives' building projects, administrative reorganizations and other situations where SAA has released statements without understanding the context or checking the facts. This makes SAA look very, very unprofessional.	SAA should only endorse statements that directly relate to Archives. Possibly the best example is our recent infatuation with sunshine requests which we have re- branded "transparency". The upcoming conference has this as its theme, there was an advocacy release this summer related to voter rollsbut oddly, this is not an archival issue. In government, corporate and university archives this is either a matter for institutional attorneys or a non-issue because the records are already open for investigation. I can recall similar local issues in CA, WI, GA and many others where we our social responsibility has made us feel good, but at the expense of our profession's reputation and the reputation of our professional colleagues. All I am asking is to please use more discretion when rushing to be the first to issue a press release on "records issues".

Yes		left-wing members of the SAA. It appeared was transforming from a professional orga one. As a political moderate, I felt was the commandeered by left-wing activities and members who disagreed with their views t hope that this is not the way the SAA is go acceptance of diverse views is made clear Plan.		ives listserv that was dominated by radical the SAA. It appeared as though the SAA m a professional organization to a political oderate, I felt was though the SAA was being ft-wing activities and that they wanted those eed with their views to leave the SAA. I he way the SAA is going. I also hope that
Largely, although there is a strong need to emphasize linkages to records management, particularly in the public sector.		Include more on lifecycle management and identification of archival records early in the same, providing support for records management programs, especially in the public sector, where appraisal often takes place through retention schedules.		Diversity is important, yesbut SAA may be touting it a little too loudly. I liked the use of synonyms like inclusive - most sponsors of archival programs already have diversity programs - if there is a sense that SAA has in some way or other no supported diversity in the past, I would disagree. SAA has been in the vanguard of professional groups supporting diversity.
Yes		NO		
yes and no By being so vague the goals can be interpreted a number of ways which gives more flexibility. But the vagueness can also lead to inaction due to lack of defined benchmarks		I would like to see follow through on the commitment to making more educational opportunities accessible to those with limited financial means.		Thanks to Council for the continued effort to constantly improve and grow our profession.
Probably		No		SAA membership is basically a very expensive journal subscription.
Yes	SAA is planning inclusion in the the mission and diversity of the stewardship, n working to inc supportive pro- marginalized h success that his supportive envi actually workin organizational more support service. This co serve in the or	ere should be a goal that clearly states how g to support increased diversity and e organization and profession. While both d core organizational values highlight e profession and the collections under our ione of the goals specify how SAA is rease diversity and create a more inclusive, ifession for those who have been istorically. Specific goals and measures of ghlight how SAA is creating a more vironment would help me to see how SAA is ng in ways that align with its core values. Some suggestions that I have are for those who are interested in professional buld include paying a stipend to those who ganization or creating more scholarships ittend the annual conference.		We should not expect people who to participate in professional organizations without pay. This creates a profession in which we under value our work.

Yes		
	Add: 2.4. Create low-cost and no-cost	
	educational programs and workshops to	
	attract new members and raise the level	
	of collections care and the level of	
	awareness of archives and the work that	
	archivists do.	
Yes.	How about actually doing something to	
	meet them rather than hand-wringing	
	over them and then failing miserably do	
	take appropriate action, as in the case of	
	the decision to hold a meeting in Texas.	
	How does that comport with the	
	"inclusiveness" mandate?	
ves. but Would like to see	more in Goal 1 to address government accou	intability, archives as a way to ensure a
accountability of democracy - we need to ensure that our	d more direct civic activism and archives and a . Honestly, I'd like to see all of that as an expli r profession is recognized for the important ro ility. Esp. in the era of "fake news."	cit and formal Goal. The times are perilous -
did for the Columbus Zoo. about. The archives profess	unique ways to get the public to know what was a personality that was seen in the gene	
	ion needs something similar. Also, think abou public. We need to network with History Char phality out there to get the public behind the	
	public. We need to network with History Char	nnel, Discovery Channel, Social media

I think the goals and objectives are and will be relevant, with some modifications. For example, I think it will become more important (and difficult) to provide affordable, accessible professional development options.	I think it will become increasingly important to reach Goal 1 ("Advocating for Archivists and Archives") under the current administration.	I'm not sure that Goal 4 ("Meeting Members' Needs") is being met. Maybe it needs to be reworded? The listserv is a mess and does not provide an opportunity for MEMBERS to participate. I think there should be more opportunities for only people who are active members of SAA to interact and communicate with each other a forum maybe? I'm all for being accessible and open, but I do wish there were more members-only benefits to my membership
No, but that is because I am retired and my goals are personal, i.e. writing history and processing my family's personal papers.	Yes, for goals 1 & 3. SAA talks a lot about diversity, but only demonstrates it in a limited way. We should be partnering with records managers, local recorders and clerks, and technology agencies. And, we should be inclusive of political and social conservativesthey, too, are part of society.	None.
Yes and no. See specific feedback below. Note that we met as a group to discuss and I have compiled all of our feedback.	<ul> <li>Overall:</li> <li>The general tone could be improved to be more accessible and inclusive. As it stands, it reads somewhat stuffy (e.g., use of "cadre" and other erudite word choices).</li> <li>KPIs are often too vague to be measurable</li> <li>Missing ideal of democratization of the record and ensuring that Americans have equal access to the record</li> <li>Core Organizational Values:</li> <li>Suggest changing "association" to "profession" in third bullet (Fostering a culture of across the PROFESSION)</li> <li>Goal 1:</li> <li>Prioritize ARCHIVISTS over ARCHIVES (also note there is a difference between what is displayed on website v. printable PDF</li> <li>In KPIs consider the following Growth in archivist salaries, outreach to early career archivists and even young adults who haven't settled on a career path yet</li> <li>Include something about sustainability—project and grant based funding is not sustainable. This needs to be acknowledged and addressed.</li> <li>Goal 2:</li> <li>Include development of allied professionals and collaboration with allied</li> </ul>	Very specific feedback from our developer, who is not an archivist but often searches for info on archives and archival work and functions: I think crowd-sourcing (wiki/reddit/stack exchange) the knowledge base should be a core goal of SAA, as it would help SAA meet goals 1, 2, 3, and 4. I spend a lot of time googling around for resources related to standards that I need to meet, and I've never felt that SAA was a central hub for archive-related information. Especially not in the digital- technical side of the spectrum. Perhaps SAA can take that title by hosting a wiki for all archive-related technology. Something similar to the way TVTropes.org works, in that you can spend a few hours just clicking around different rabbit-holes and find out about things you wouldn't have otherwise heard of.

professions. We'd all be stronger and	
better, and more effective, together.	
<ul> <li>Remove focus on publication sales. Is</li> </ul>	
there another way to track impact?	
Citations? Retrieval and access to online	
pubs and website? Downloads? Site traffic	
and consumption of resources?	
Goal 3:	
<ul> <li>No substantive feedback however</li> </ul>	
collaboration with other standards making	
bodies should be highlighted/prioritized/	
Goal 4:	
• Vague. Define inclusivity and/or provide	
tangible and specific KPIs for measuring	
this.	
• Reversing the negative perception that	
many early career archivists have of SAA	
(and especially the forums/list serves).	
• KPIs somewhat outdated and will need	
to be revised re: changes in Society	
affinity groups.	