

**Society of American Archivists
Council Meeting
February 17-19, 2026
Virtual**

Title: SAA Legislative Agenda for 2025-2027 Congressional Term

Prepared by: Gina Nichols (Chair, COPP), Jess Farrell (Past-chair, COPP), with support of COPP members

Executive Summary (up to 300 words)

The Committee on Public Policy (COPP) has been responsible for drafting SAA's Legislative Agenda since 2018. This document "identifies [federal legislative] priorities for this year. Issue briefs within the Legislative Agenda provide a summary of the issue and SAA's position on it."

Additionally, COPP has drafted SAA's Public Policy Agenda since 2009. The Public Policy Agenda, "identifies a limited set of broad priorities that serves to guide the Society's advocacy efforts in the public policy and legislative arenas."

Due to the significant overlap of the two documents, and because many of SAA's public policy priorities are reflected in the proposed federal legislation, COPP has decided to combine the priorities into one "Legislative Agenda." This will be the approach for this agenda item and future iterations. There will not be an additional submission re: a Public Policy Agenda for 2026 or later.

Contextual Information (up to 500 words)

SAA's Legislative Agenda was last revised in May 2022. Since then, a new presidential administration has been elected into office and a new Congress has convened in Washington, D.C. Additionally, a global public-health emergency caused by the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic recently changed the landscape of operations, services, and funding for archivists around the US.

The SAA Legislative Agenda is revised every two years. This revised Legislative Agenda guides SAA's efforts to influence legislation and regulations that have an impact on the archival profession. See Appendix A for the current Legislative Agenda and Action Plan (2022).

Alignment with Strategic Priorities

This Legislative Agenda aligns with Strategic Priority 1.2. of SAA's expiring strategic plan: Educate and influence decision makers in any setting about the importance of archives and archivists.

Fiscal Impact

The adoption of this agenda will have no fiscal impact.

However, advocacy efforts aimed at educating policymakers and influencing the legislative process involve various costs. These costs include membership in organizations that support federal legislative advocacy for archives and affiliated professions, namely the National Humanities Alliance. Additionally, there are costs associated with funding participation of SAA members and/or staff in participating in the NHA's annual Humanities Advocacy Day.

Other costs include SAA staff time to participate in other GLAM advocacy groups that meet regularly throughout the year. There are also staff costs to create PR materials and calls to action when the legislative advocacy landscape necessitates broad SAA member engagement. These situations are unpredictable and typically require no more than 5 hours of staff time when they arise.

Potential Benefits

A detailed legislative agenda focuses SAA's advocacy priorities, concentrating on a select set of issues. This approach unifies the collective efforts of all of those who are involved in public policy advocacy within SAA. As a publicly available document, it also serves to inform members and those outside the organization about SAA's legislative policy focuses.

Potential Drawbacks

None

Recommendation (Action Items only)

That SAA adopt the following SAA Legislative Agenda for US Congressional term of 2025-2027 (see subsequent pages):

SAA Legislative Agenda (2025-2027)

Written by the SAA Committee on Public Policy and adopted by the SAA Council.

Date of latest revision: 2022

Date of next planned revision: late 2026-early 2027

To view previous versions, see: [2022 Legislative Agenda and Action Plan](#) [link:

<https://www2.archivists.org/advocacy/public-policy/legislative-agenda-and-action-plan/2022-2023>]

Introduction

The SAA Legislative Agenda lists objectives for legislative and regulatory action at the national level on issues that affect archives and archival programs in the United States. The agenda identifies five high-priority issues for which legislative or regulatory action is already underway, has been scheduled to take place, or is anticipated to emerge this legislative term. This is an organic document; the list may be amended or reprioritized as legislative and regulatory developments take place during the legislative term.

In addition to the priorities for advocacy, issues are identified for monitoring and potential action. First are national issues that will be monitored for unanticipated developments that may need immediate response. Second are state, regional, or municipal issues, for which the most effective advocacy will be through actions by individual members, institutions, and regional archival organizations.

SAA, guided broadly by the [2022-2024 Public Policy Agenda](#) [link:

<https://www2.archivists.org/advocacy/public-policy/saa-public-policy-agenda/2022-2024>], will advocate or take other action on the following public policy priorities, either separately or in collaboration with other organizations.

2025-2027 LEGISLATIVE PRIORITIES

Public Policy Priority:

Ensure robust federal funding for archives.

Public Policy Actions:

- Support the addition of the word “archives” throughout IMLS reauthorization
- Support existing and proposed legislation that directly routes funding from the federal government to archives and archivists
- Support increases in funding for NARA

Coalitions and Partners:

Internal Partners:

- Committee on Public Awareness
- Committee on Research, Data, and Assessment
- Government Records Section
- Issues & Advocacy Section
- Native American Archives Section
- SAA Council
- SAA HQ/Staff

External Partners:

- National Humanities Alliance
- Joint Working Group on Issues and Awareness

Other Allies:

- Association of Tribal Archives, Libraries, and Museums

Discussion:

Archivists and archives need robust federal funding for grant programs benefiting archival projects, including electronic records preservation initiatives, and cultural heritage disaster recovery efforts. We support increases in appropriations for the National Historical Publications and Records Commission (NHPRC), the National Endowment for the Humanities (NEH), and the Institute of Library and Museum Services (IMLS). This includes proposing or championing a new archives funding initiative under the umbrella of one or more of the aforementioned agencies.

In cooperation with the Joint Working Group on Issues and Awareness and the National Humanities Alliance, we will advocate for funding for the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA), ideally at a level that ensures NARA can accomplish its mandated functions to guarantee the transfer and management of the permanently valuable records of the presidency and federal government. Funding is essential for NARA to support effective management of and access to federal electronic records.

Federal funding for the NHPRC, the NEH, and the IMLS must be sustained to ensure that the historical record of our collective human experience is preserved and accessible for use by the American public, including teachers and students, scholars, scientists, family historians, the business community, and governments themselves.

Federal funding for the National Archives needs to continue to be increased, not simply be maintained or reduced. The challenges of managing federal electronic records are substantial, from ensuring the comprehensive transfer of records to providing access to those securely preserved records years and decades after they were originally acquired. These challenges require focused staffing, technology, and implementation of new approaches to assure that the essential information created by all branches of the federal government is appropriately preserved and made accessible, and so that NARA meets its mandate to ensure the accountability and transparency of the federal government on behalf of the American people.

SAA Statements/Issue Briefs on This Topic:

- Statement: [SAA Echoes and Amplifies Calls from Allied Organizations to Defend IMLS \(2025\)](#) [link: <https://www2.archivists.org/statements/saa-echoes-and-amplifies-calls-from-allied-organizations-to-defend-imls-0>]
- Statement: SAA Statement via NHA on NEH (2025) [link: <https://www2.archivists.org/statements/saa-statement-via-nha-on-neh>]
- Joint Statement (NAGARA/CoSA/SAA): [Support of the Federal Budget for NARA and NHPRC \(2021\)](#) [link: <https://nagara.org/common/Uploaded%20files/Support%20of%20the%20Federal%20Budget%20for%20NARA%20and%20NHPRC.pdf>]
- Issue Brief: [Federal Grant Funding for Archives \(2017\)](#)]
- Backgrounder: [Funding for the National Historical Publications and Records Commission \(2017\)](#) [link: <https://www2.archivists.org/statements/backgrounder-funding-for-the-national-historical-publications-and-records-commission>]

- Backgrounder: [Funding for the National Endowment for the Humanities](https://www2.archivists.org/statements/backgrounder-funding-for-the-national-endowment-for-the-humanities) (2017) [link: <https://www2.archivists.org/statements/backgrounder-funding-for-the-national-endowment-for-the-humanities>]
- Issue Brief: [Strengthening of Federal Records Authority](https://www2.archivists.org/statements/issue-brief-strengthening-of-federal-records-authority) (2015) [link: <https://www2.archivists.org/statements/issue-brief-strengthening-of-federal-records-authority>]
- Issue Brief: [Adequate Funding of Government Archives and Archival Programs](https://www2.archivists.org/statements/issue-brief-adequate-funding-of-government-archives-and-archival-programs) (2014) [link: <https://www2.archivists.org/statements/issue-brief-adequate-funding-of-government-archives-and-archival-programs>]

Public Policy Priority:

Support efforts to address inadequacies in intellectual property law and regulations.

Public Policy Action:

- Support changes to Section 108 that address archivists' and archives' needs.
- Oppose changes to Sections 107 and 108 that impede archives and archivists from enacting their missions.
- Support exemptions to the Digital Millennium Copyright Act that increase archivists and archives' ability to perform essential archival functions like preserve digital records.
- Closely monitor U.S. Copyright Office's Artificial Intelligence Initiative (launched in 2023) for reports and recommendations. Be prepared to react quickly to the revised version to the "Copyright and Artificial Intelligence, Part 3 Generative AI Training, Pre-publication Version" issued May 2025, and monitor the status of prospective Part 4.
- Based on a tactical review of recommendations in the three 2024/25 Copyright Office reports, monitor and be prepared to respond promptly to any policy initiatives of the Office, Congress, or the Executive Branch.

Coalitions and Partners:

Internal Partners:

- Committee on Public Awareness
- Committee on Research, Data, and Assessment
- Intellectual Property Working Group
- Issues & Advocacy Section
- Native American Archives Section
- Privacy & Confidentiality Section
- SAA Council
- SAA HQ/Staff

External Partners:

- Library Copyright Alliance / Association of Research Libraries
- Software Preservation Network
- Electronic Frontier Foundation
- Library Futures
- Joint Working Group on Issues and Awareness

Other Allies:

- Access to Knowledge Coalition
- Public Knowledge
- Music Library Association
- Association for Recorded Sound Collections
- Authors Alliance
- ICOM

Discussion:

We oppose any legislation or regulatory actions related to intellectual property rights that reduce or impede the ability of archives and libraries to preserve and provide access to archival materials. Such actions include extending the duration of copyright or changes to Section 108 of the U.S. Copyright Act that are not made specifically to expand permitted uses by archives and libraries.

Lengthy terms of copyright protection inhibit the growth of the public domain to the detriment of the public interest. Section 108 of the U.S. Copyright Act is one of several provisions in the law ensuring a balance between the important interests of rights holders and the equally important interests of the public—a balance critical to archives' missions. By permitting archives to duplicate copyrighted material for preservation and access, Section 108 empowers archivists to carry out their core work. Although aspects of Section 108 might be updated, the statute is remarkably resilient and is used every day by practicing archivists. Combined with the Fair Use doctrine as codified in Section 107, Section 108 is an invaluable tool for archivists in conducting their collective mission to preserve the historical record.

Recent developments in intellectual property law, particularly provisions related to small claims adjudication authority within the Copyright Office, make it imperative to advocate for an intellectual property framework that allows archivists and information professionals to fulfill their professional duties without threat of civil litigation.

SAA Statements/Issue Briefs on This Topic:

- [Archivists and the Term of Copyright](https://www2.archivists.org/statements/issue-brief-archivists-and-the-term-of-copyright) (2016) [link: <https://www2.archivists.org/statements/issue-brief-archivists-and-the-term-of-copyright>]
- [Statement on Draft Revision of Section 108](https://www2.archivists.org/statements/saa-statement-on-draft-revision-of-section-108) (2016) [link: <https://www2.archivists.org/statements/saa-statement-on-draft-revision-of-section-108>]
- [Archivists and the Trans-Pacific Partnership Agreement Provisions](https://www2.archivists.org/statements/saa-opposes-trans-pacific-partnership-agreement-provisions) (2015) [link: <https://www2.archivists.org/statements/saa-opposes-trans-pacific-partnership-agreement-provisions>]
- Issue Brief: [Orphan Works](https://www2.archivists.org/statements/issue-brief-orphan-works) (2014) [link: <https://www2.archivists.org/statements/issue-brief-orphan-works>]

Public Policy Priority:

Support efforts to strengthen transparency and ensure access to open government data.

Public Policy Actions:

- Support legislation that strengthens transparency and ensures access to open government data.
- COPP will form a subcommittee to track and communicate changing presidential administration policies that will likely affect archives / archivists and develop a protocol for response.

Coalitions and Partners:

Internal Partners:

- Committee on Public Awareness
- Committee on Research, Data, and Assessment
- Government Records Section
- Issues & Advocacy Section
- SAA Council
- SAA HQ/Staff

External Partners:

- Association of Research Libraries
- Joint Working Group on Issues and Awareness

Other Allies:

- National Security Archive at GWU
- Freedom of the Press Foundation

Discussion:

We will monitor forthcoming or in progress legislation that affects the ability of the public, researchers, and government agencies/officials to ensure open access to public records as provided for by law. Issues that will emerge cannot always be predicted or anticipated, hence the need for regular monitoring of ongoing government action and legislation that may require a quick response. Focus must be on supporting legislation to ensure access to public records and opposing legislation designed to limit such access unless there are compelling and demonstrable reasons.

Support efforts to develop open public information for all government agencies. Support legislation that enhances federal electronic records management, such as H.R.745, the Federal Records Modernization Act of 2017 or S. 4042, the Strengthening Oversight of Federal Records Act of 2024.

Background:

SAA promotes the protection and accessibility of records found in governments, organizations, and archives repositories. Records ensure the protection of individuals' rights, the accountability of governments and organizations, and the accessibility of historical information.

Government has long sought to achieve a balance between open access to public records and information while ensuring restrictions that respect concerns of privacy, intellectual property, and national security. In general, the public requires broad access to government records and information to ensure transparency and accountability. Some agencies and officials have found a balance that provides the public with a great deal of information, while others have tended to restrict information and access to public records, believing it necessary to protect their work and decision-making.

To ensure that federal records can serve the purposes of ensuring transparency and accountability, the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA) should be granted the statutory authority that will allow it to carry out its crucial responsibility for the proper management of federal records.

Issues may arise relating to access and restrictions that are difficult to anticipate and are complex. For example, new technologies such as ephemeral social media content used by public officials and entities raise questions about what constitutes a public record. Additionally, the use and abuse by public officials and agencies of electronic mail and social media have led to growing questions about what requirements must be met to ensure official records are created and can be managed and preserved. Finally, issues relating to classification and declassification of public records require constant consideration to balance the public's interest in having access to information with the desire of government officials and agencies to protect the information.

SAA firmly believes that the critical nature of information in a democracy places the burden to prove the need for confidentiality, or the legitimacy of excluding public access to information, on those asserting such claims. Therefore, SAA strongly opposes any effort by government officials to deny U.S. citizens access to government information found in archives and records.

SAA Statements/Issue Briefs on This Topic:

- Statement: [SAA Statement on Media Reports Concerning Records Destruction](https://www2.archivists.org/statements/saa-statement-on-media-reports-concerning-records-destruction) (2025) [link: <https://www2.archivists.org/statements/saa-statement-on-media-reports-concerning-records-destruction>]
- Issue Brief: [Judiciary Records](https://www2.archivists.org/statements/issue-brief-judiciary-records) (2021) [link: <https://www2.archivists.org/statements/issue-brief-judiciary-records>]
- Statement on Congressional Records as Public Records (2018) [link: <https://www2.archivists.org/statements/congressional-records-as-public-records>]
- Issue Brief: [Police Mobile Camera Footage as a Public Record](https://www2.archivists.org/statements/issue-brief-police-mobile-camera-footage-as-a-public-record) (2017) [link: <https://www2.archivists.org/statements/issue-brief-police-mobile-camera-footage-as-a-public-record>]
- Issue Brief: [Federal Classified Information and Controlled Unclassified Information](https://www2.archivists.org/statements/issue-brief-federal-classified-information-and-controlled-unclassified-information) (2017) [link: <https://www2.archivists.org/statements/issue-brief-federal-classified-information-and-controlled-unclassified-information>]
- Statement on the Value and Importance of Transparency in Government (2017) [link: <https://www2.archivists.org/statements/saa-statement-on-the-value-and-importance-of-transparency-in-government>]
- Issue Brief: [Declassification of Federal Records](https://www2.archivists.org/statements/issue-brief-declassification-of-federal-records) (2017) [link: <https://www2.archivists.org/statements/issue-brief-declassification-of-federal-records>]
- Statement on Conducting Public Business in Non-Government Email Accounts (2015) [link: <https://www2.archivists.org/statements/joint-statement-on-conducting-public-business-in-non-government-email-accounts-june-2015>]

- Issue Brief: [Presidential Records Act of 1978](https://www2.archivists.org/statements/issue-brief-presidential-records-act-of-1978) (2014) [link: <https://www2.archivists.org/statements/issue-brief-presidential-records-act-of-1978>]

Public Policy Priority:

Support legislation that ensures fair compensation and living wages for archives and archives-adjacent workers.

Public Policy Actions:

- Monitor legislation and mobilize if there is legislation to support

Coalitions and Partners:

Internal Partners:

- Committee on Public Awareness
- Committee on Research, Data, and Assessment
- Archival Compensation Working Group
- Issues & Advocacy Section
- Labor Archives Section
- SAA Council
- SAA HQ/Staff
- Students and New Archival Professionals Section

External Partners:

- Archival Workers Collective

Discussion:

We will develop partnerships with organizations who can help SAA monitor and advocate for legislation that strengthens the ability for workers to unionize, seek increases to the minimum wage, or seek to address unfair labor practices within archives, museums, and other cultural heritage institutions. We will mobilize our members to participate in partner organizations' advocacy efforts. We will oppose legislation that minimizes workers' ability to unionize, prevents the minimum wage from increasing, or seeks to further enable unfair labor practices within archives.

Background:

- Raising wages and the quality of workplace life for archivists directly benefits the collections we seek to preserve. Institutional memory in the form of long-serving staff is

a valuable asset that organizations can retain by adequately compensating their employees.

- Many archivists are significantly under-employed and underpaid. Approximately 50% of all full-time archivists who responded to the A*Census survey reported earning between \$50,000 and \$79,999 per year. Furthermore, 43% of part-time respondents indicated they made less than \$20,000.
- Supporting legislation that ensures fair compensation for all people, including those employed in cultural heritage, will improve working conditions within the field. Much of federal labor law affects SAA members in a concrete way, and should be monitored in the same way that SAA monitors other preservation and access issues.

APPENDIX A: SAA Legislative Agenda (2022-2023) [available at:
<https://www2.archivists.org/advocacy/public-policy/legislative-agenda-and-action-plan/2022-2023>]

INTRODUCTION

The SAA Legislative Agenda lists objectives for legislative and regulatory action at the national level on issues that affect archives and archival programs in the United States. The agenda identifies six high-priority issues for which legislative or regulatory action is already underway, has been scheduled to take place, or is anticipated to emerge this legislative term. This is an organic document; the list may be amended or reprioritized as legislative and regulatory developments take place during the legislative term.

In addition to the priorities for advocacy, issues are identified for monitoring and potential action. First are national issues that will be monitored for unanticipated developments that may need immediate response. Second are state, regional, or municipal issues, for which the most effective advocacy will be through actions by individual members, institutions, and regional archival organizations.

SAA, guided broadly by the [2022-2024 Public Policy Agenda](#), will advocate or take other action on the following public policy priorities, either separately or in collaboration with other organizations.

2022-2023 LEGISLATIVE PRIORITIES

[Federal Funding for Archives](#)

[Network Neutrality](#)

[Intellectual Property Law and Regulations](#)

[Open Government Data](#)

[Federal Judicial Records](#)

[Fair Compensation for Archives Workers](#)

NATIONAL ISSUES

[Classification of Federal Records](#)

[Management of Federal Records](#)

[Freedom of Information Act](#)

STATE/REGIONAL/LOCAL POLICY ISSUES

Police Mobile Camera Footage

State Freedom of Information Laws

[\[Back to Top\]](#)

2022-2023 LEGISLATIVE PRIORITIES

Public Policy Priority:

Ensure robust federal funding for archives.

Public Policy Action: Advocate for robust federal funding for grant programs benefiting archival projects, including electronic records preservation initiatives and cultural heritage disaster recovery efforts. Support increases in appropriations for the National Historical Publications and Records Commission (NHPRC), the National Endowment for the Humanities (NEH), and the Institute of Library and Museum Services (IMLS). This includes proposing and championing a new archives funding initiative under the umbrella of one or more of the aforementioned agencies.

Advocate for a level of funding for the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA) that ensures NARA can accomplish its mandated functions to guarantee the transfer and management of the permanently valuable records of the presidency and federal government. Furthermore, funding is essential for NARA to meet the terms of the Office of Management and Budget directive M-19-21 *Transition to Electronic Records* and to support effective management of and access to federal electronic records.

Advocate for the following federal budget funding levels:

NHPRC: \$13 million in appropriations

NEH: \$225 million in appropriations

IMLS: \$286 million in appropriations

NARA: \$433 million in appropriations

Background: Federal funding for the NHPRC, the NEH, and the IMLS must be sustained to ensure that the historical record of our collective human experience is preserved and accessible for use by the American public, including teachers and students, scholars, scientists, family historians, the business community, and governments themselves.

Federal funding for the National Archives needs to continue to be increased, not simply be maintained or reduced. The challenges of managing federal electronic records are substantial, from ensuring the comprehensive transfer of records to providing access to those securely preserved records years and decades after they were originally acquired. These challenges require focused staffing, technology, and implementation of new approaches to assure that the essential information created by all branches of the federal government is appropriately preserved and made accessible, and so that NARA meets its mandate to ensure the accountability and transparency of the federal government on behalf of the American people.

SAA Statements/Issue Briefs on This Topic:

- Joint Statement (NAGARA/CoSA/SAA): [Support of the Federal Budget for NARA and NHPRC](#) (2021)
- Archives, Public Policy, and You: [Federal Funding Programs for Archives and Historical Records](#) (2019)
- Issue Brief: [Federal Grant Funding for Archives](#) (2017)
- Backgrounder: [Funding for the National Historical Publications and Records Commission](#) (2017)
- Backgrounder: [Funding for the National Endowment for the Humanities](#) (2017)
- Issue Brief: [Strengthening of Federal Records Authority](#) (2015)
- Issue Brief: [Adequate Funding of Government Archives and Archival Programs](#) (2014)

[\[Back to Top\]](#)

Public Policy Priority:

Support network neutrality.

Public Policy Action: Support the maintenance of net neutrality in the United States and legislative proposals that would ensure that Internet providers are designated as common carriers under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934.

Background: Net neutrality is the principle that Internet service providers must treat all data on the Internet in the same way, and not discriminate or charge differently by user, content, website, platform, application, type of attached equipment, or method of communication.^[1] Under these principles, Internet service providers are unable to intentionally block, slow down, or charge money for specific websites and online content.

Access to essential documentation of our government and society is made available to a wide array of users through the Internet. Archival institutions use the Internet to provide access to

finding aids, discovery tools, and digital collections. In this way, they are able to reach large audiences, including governments and policy researchers, K-12 teachers and students, college/university students and academic researchers, family historians, legal and medical researchers, and many other users. The principles of net neutrality are indispensable for the transparent and democratic distribution of information available online from thousands of archival repositories throughout the country.

Our nation and the mission of our profession is best served if government regulations ensure the ability of archives to provide equitable and unfettered access to our shared cultural heritage and support access for all users of archival information.

[1]Gilroy, Angele A. (March 11, 2011). Access to Broadband Networks: The Net Neutrality Debate (Report). DIANE Publishing. p. 1. [ISBN 978-1437984545](#).

SAA Statements/Issue Briefs on This Topic:

- Issue Brief: [Net Neutrality](#) (June 2018)
- [SAA Letter to the FCC Commissioner](#) (December 2017)

[\[Back to Top\]](#)

Public Policy Priority:

Address inadequacies in intellectual property law and regulations.

Public Policy Action: Oppose any legislation or regulatory actions related to intellectual property rights that reduce or impede the ability of archives and libraries to preserve and provide access to archival materials. Such actions include extending the duration of copyright or changes to Section 108 of the U.S. Copyright Act that are not made specifically to expand permitted uses by archives and libraries.

Background: Lengthy terms of copyright protection inhibit the growth of the public domain to the detriment of the public interest. Section 108 of the U.S. Copyright Act is one of several provisions in the law ensuring a balance between the important interests of rights holders and the equally important interests of the public—a balance critical to archives' missions. By permitting archives to duplicate copyrighted material for preservation and access, Section 108 empowers archivists to carry out their core work. Although aspects of Section 108 might be updated, the statute is remarkably resilient and is used every day by practicing archivists. Combined with the Fair Use doctrine as codified in Section 107, Section 108 is an invaluable tool for archivists in conducting their collective mission to preserve the historical record.

Recent developments in intellectual property law, particularly provisions related to small claims adjudication authority within the Copyright Office, make it imperative to advocate for an

intellectual property framework that allows archivists and information professionals to fulfill their professional duties without threat of civil litigation.

SAA Statements/Issue Briefs on This Topic:

- [Archivists and the Term of Copyright \(2016\)](#)
- [Statement on Draft Revision of Section 108 \(2016\)](#)
- [Archivists and the Trans-Pacific Partnership Agreement Provisions \(2015\)](#)
- Issue Brief: [Orphan Works \(2014\)](#)
- View a one-page [overview](#) of SAA's positions on copyright issues.

[\[Back to Top\]](#)

Public Policy Priority:

Support efforts to strengthen transparency and ensure access to open government data.

Public Policy Action: Monitor forthcoming or in progress legislation that affects the ability of the public, researchers, and government agencies/officials to ensure open access to public records as provided for by law. Issues that will emerge cannot always be predicted or anticipated, hence the need for regular monitoring of ongoing government action and legislation that may require a quick response. Focus must be on supporting legislation to ensure access to public records and opposing legislation designed to limit such access unless there are compelling and demonstrable reasons.

Support efforts to develop open public information for all government agencies. Support legislation that enhances federal electronic records management, such as H.R.745, the Federal Records Modernization Act of 2017.

Background: SAA promotes the protection and accessibility of records found in governments, organizations, and archives repositories. Records ensure the protection of individuals' rights, the accountability of governments and organizations, and the accessibility of historical information.

Government has long sought to achieve a balance between open access to public records and information while ensuring restrictions that respect concerns of privacy, intellectual property, and national security. In general, the public requires broad access to government records and information to ensure transparency and accountability. Some agencies and officials have found a balance that provides the public with a great deal of information, while others have tended to restrict information and access to public records, believing it necessary to protect their work and decision-making.

To ensure that federal records can serve the purposes of ensuring transparency and accountability, the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA) should be granted the statutory authority that will allow it to carry out its crucial responsibility for the proper management of federal records.

Issues may arise relating to access and restrictions that are difficult to anticipate and are complex. For example, new technologies such as ephemeral social media content used by public officials and entities raise questions about what constitutes a public record. Additionally, the use and abuse by public officials and agencies of electronic mail and social media have led to growing questions about what requirements must be met to ensure official records are created and can be managed and preserved. Finally, issues relating to classification/declassification of public records require constant consideration to balance the public's interest in having access to information with the desire of government officials and agencies to protect the information.

SAA firmly believes that the critical nature of information in a democracy places the burden to prove the need for confidentiality, or the legitimacy of excluding public access to information, on those asserting such claims. Therefore, SAA strongly opposes any effort by government officials to deny U.S. citizens access to government information found in archives and records.

SAA Statements/Issue Briefs on This Topic:

- [Judiciary Records](#) (2021)
- [Congressional Records as Public Records](#) (2018)
- [Police Mobile Camera Footage as a Public Record](#). (2017)
- Issue Brief: [Federal Classified Information and Controlled Unclassified Information](#) (2017)
- [Statement on the Value and Importance of Transparency in Government](#) (2017)
- Issue Brief: [Declassification of Federal Records](#) (2017)
- [Statement on Conducting Public Business in Non-Government Email Accounts](#) (2015)
- Issue Brief: [Presidential Records Act of 1978](#) (2014)

[\[Back to Top\]](#)

Public Policy Priority:

Address inadequacies in statutes regarding records of the federal judiciary.

Public Policy Action: Develop organizational relationships with communities that support the creation of laws governing the disposition, preservation, and accessibility of the papers of Supreme Court justices and other federal judges. Identify potential allied legislators and arrange meetings with them to raise the issue.

Background:

The National Archives and Records Administration (NARA) is responsible for the [official institutional records](#) of the U.S. Courts. Despite the increasing attention to the federal judiciary in recent decades, there are no laws governing the disposition, preservation, and accessibility of the papers of Supreme Court justices and other federal judges. The lack of consistent transfer practices among judges and justices, and the absence of a law like the Presidential Records Act, has led to uneven public access to the archival records of some of our country's most powerful public servants.

In 1974, President Gerald Ford signed the [Presidential Recordings Preservation Act](#), which required the preservation of [President Nixon's presidential records](#) and established the National Study Commission on Records and Documents of Federal Officials, a national committee to "study problems and questions with respect to the control, disposition, and preservation of records and documents produced by or on behalf of Federal officials." In 1977, the Committee issued its [final report](#), which was [considered](#) in the passage of the [Presidential Records Act in 1978](#). Although the Presidential Records Act institutionalized many of the Commission's recommendations regarding the records of the President and Vice President and created the paradigm shift that legally transformed the President's private papers into public records, the United States lacks similar legislation concerning the status of records of members of Congress and federal judges.

The Federal Judicial Center (FJC) actively encourages federal judges to develop a plan for preserving their papers by communicating the value such documents have to researchers, historians, and the public. The most effective way to create a desirable consistency regarding the disposition, preservation, and accessibility of the entire archival record of the judiciary would be to enact legislation to address gaps in the management of federal judges' papers. Many of the recommendations of the 1977 report are still worth considering. Effectively, a judicial version of the Presidential Records Act should be passed.

To ensure the greatest public access, consideration should be given to centralizing responsibility for these records under NARA, the Library of Congress, or a government-funded consortium of authorized repositories to preserve judges' papers.

SAA Statements/Issue Briefs on This Topic:

- [Judiciary Records](#) (2021)

[\[Back to Top\]](#)

Public Policy Priority:

Support legislation that ensures fair compensation and living wages for archives and archives-adjacent workers.

Public Policy Action: Develop partnerships with organizations who can help SAA monitor and advocate for legislation that strengthens the ability for workers to unionize, seek increases to the minimum wage, or seek to address unfair labor practices within archives, museums, and other cultural heritage institutions. Mobilize our members to participate in partner organizations' advocacy efforts. Oppose legislation that minimizes workers' ability to unionize, prevents the minimum wage from increasing, or seeks to further enable unfair labor practices within archives.

Background:

- Institutional memory in the form of long-serving staff is a valuable asset that organizations can retain through adequate compensation of and fair labor practices in regard to their employees.
- Supporting legislation that ensures fair compensation for all people, including those employed in the cultural heritage field, will improve working conditions within the field. Much of federal labor law affects SAA members in their work and should be monitored in the same way that SAA monitors other issues at the intersection of archives and public policy/legislation.

[\[Back to Top\]](#)

NATIONAL ISSUES TO BE MONITORED IN 2022-2023

Public Policy Issue:

Address weaknesses in classification of federal records.

Public Policy Action: Collaborate with interested organizations to support efforts to improve classification and declassification and avoid over-classification of federal records. Actions to support include simplification of classification categories and provision of additional funding for training and technology to assist and improve the process.

Background: The core values of archivists as defined by the Society of American Archivists and the profession include accountability and access and use. In order to ensure a more open and

transparent government, there is a demonstrated need to reform the federal classification process, reduce over-classification, and ensure that the Controlled Unclassified Information (CUI) system does not become yet another system of “classification.”[2] In particular, the federal government should strive for the greatest possible openness when declassifying national security information.

[2]”Controlled Unclassified Information (CUI) is information that requires safeguarding or dissemination controls pursuant to and consistent with applicable law, regulations, and government-wide policies but is not classified under Executive Order 13526 or the Atomic Energy Act, as amended.” For further information see [About Controlled Unclassified Information \(CUI\)](#).”

SAA Statements/Issue Briefs on This Topic:

- Issue Brief: [Declassification of Federal Records](#) (2017)
- Issue Brief: [Federal Classified Information and Controlled Unclassified Information](#) (2017)

[\[Back to Top\]](#)

Public Policy Issue:

Promote effective management of federal records.

Public Policy Action: Monitor any developments with, and advocate as appropriate for, legislation and agency regulations that strengthen the records management authority of the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA), and support NARA in pursuit of these goals.

Background: The Congress should pass, and the President should sign, legislation that gives NARA the authority and resources to investigate violations of records management laws and policies. Such legislation should also set appropriate penalties – levied according to the degree of authority and responsibility granted to the offender – for noncompliance with existing records management laws and policies. Cases in which violation of records management law are suspected should be referred to the Department of Justice for adjudication.

NARA should be provided the necessary additional resources to carry out a system of robust, regular audits of the records management practices of federal agencies to determine their level of compliance with existing laws and regulations. While agencies currently conduct self-assessments, the inherent weaknesses of self-reporting require comprehensive audits at least once every five years for continued improvement and compliance.

SAA Statements/Issue Briefs on This Topic:

- Issue Brief: [Strengthening of Federal Records Authority \(2015\)](#)

[\[Back to Top\]](#)

Public Policy Issue:

Improve the Freedom of Information Act.

Public Policy Action: Support strengthening of FOIA implementation, especially through expansion to all federal agencies of the “Release Once Release to All” pilot project.

Background: Many agencies across the federal government have backlogs in responding to FOIA requests from citizens, and “the average processing times at many agencies are hundreds of days longer than the 20-day response time established by law.”[3].

[3] ["Feinstein, Grassley, Leahy, Cornyn Push for Better FOIA Compliance."](#) March 15, 2019

SAA Statements/Issue Briefs on This Topic:

- Issue Brief: [Freedom of Information Act](#) (2014, updated 2016)

[\[Back to Top\]](#)

STATE / REGIONAL / LOCAL POLICY ISSUES TO BE MONITORED IN 2022-2023

Public Policy Issue:

Support efforts to define police mobile camera footage as a public record.

Public Policy Action: Encourage members to advocate for and support efforts to define police mobile camera footage as a public record under existing public records laws according to local, state, and federal statutes; advocate for standardized practices and policies to ensure effective management, preservation, and access.

Background: Police mobile camera video—recorded in the course of operations and preserved as evidence—falls under the professionally accepted definition of public records, i.e., any documentary materials, regardless of physical form or characteristics, made or received by a government entity in the conduct of public business and preserved (or appropriate for preservation) as evidence of the entity's organization, functions, policies, decisions, procedures, operations, or other activities, or because of the information contained therein. However, state laws diverge widely on the treatment of body camera recordings as public or open records. Effective management of these records needs to be addressed, as well as the complex issues involved in balancing the public right to know versus personal privacy.

SAA Statements/Issue Briefs on This Topic:

- Issue Brief: [Police Mobile Camera Footage as a Public Record](#) (2017)

[\[Back to Top\]](#)

Public Policy Issue:

Promote improvements in state Freedom of Information laws.

Public Policy Action: Closely monitor state legislation pertaining to state records laws, work with other organizations that are interested in FOIA, and encourage members in respective states to advocate for strengthening state FOI laws.

Background: To ensure the public's right to equal and equitable access to government information found in archives, SAA supports state government efforts to examine all freedom of information laws and strengthen all pertinent legislation. All state records laws should include specific language to prevent restrictions and exemptions for records of public officials and other government employees, other than those that are explicitly required by law.

SAA Statements/Issue Briefs on This Topic:

- Issue Brief: [State Freedom of Information Laws](#) (2015)

Drafted by the SAA Committee on Public Policy.

Adopted by the SAA Council, May 2022.